

# DEI DOCTORAL RESEARCH SEMINARS

# **G**rid Services to Transmission and Distribution System Operators Through Distributed Energy Resources (DERs)



Speaker: Carmine Rodio

February 16, 2022

#### **Summary**



Introduction: How does a power system work?



Coordination between Transmission and Distribution System Operators



Flexibility resource to provide Ancillary Services





A low-cost controller for DERs to provide SI: description and validation tests

Findings and final conclusions

### **Introduction: How does a power system work?**



### **Introduction: How does a power system work?**

•



#### **Coordination between Transmission (TSOS) and Distribution (DSOS) System Operators [2]**

In using DERs as flexibility resources for power system management, *TSOs, DSOs and aggregators* should be coordinated to optimize operational costs, maximize sustainability and guarantee power system security.

With this aim, different TSO-DSO coordination schemes have been proposed in the literature (*e.g. by SmartNet*), each one characterized by specific roles of system operators and detailed AS markets.

# LOCAL MARKET

YES

NO

#### LOCAL AS MARKET

DSOs organize a local market for resources connected at the DSO-grid to acquire flexibility resources for the congestion management and, after solving local grid constraints, aggregate and offer the remaining bids to TSOs.

#### SHARED BALANCING RESPONSIBILITY MARKET

Grid balancing responsibilities are divided between TSOs and DSOs. DSOs organizes local markets to acquire flexibility resources both to congestions management and to respect the schedule agreed with TSOs (no access to resources connected at the distribution grid).

#### **CENTRALISED AS MARKET**

TSO operates a centralized market for resources connected both at TSO- and DSO-level, without extensive involvement of DSOs, which have only the role of prequalifying the resources, in order to ensure that DERs can be activated without causing congestions.

#### COMMON TSO-DSO AS MARKET

In this model, **TSOs and DSOs operate together a global ancillary services market** in order to acquire flexibility resources they need for the operation of their networks. TSOs and DSOs have a common objective to decrease costs for system services.

#### INTEGRATED FLEXIBILITY AS MARKET

The market is open for both regulated (TSOs and DSOs) and non-regulated market parties [balancing responsible parties (BRPs) and commercial market parties (CMPs)], requiring an independent market operator (MO) to guarantee neutrality.

HIGH

DSO ENGAGEMENT

LOW

## Flexibility resource to provide Ancillary Services (AS) [2-4]

Ancillary services are traditionally managed by TSOs through the control of traditional power plants, whereas usually DSOs play a limited passive role in power system management.

In the next years, both operators should benefit from employing these flexibility resources located at distribution level (*Directive 2019/944*):

- TSO for frequency/voltage regulation and congestions management.
- DSO to manage local congestions and voltage control.



## **Need for Synthetic Inertia (SI) of Electrical Systems**

In a classic power plant, synchronous generators limiting the rate of change of frequency (ROCOF) in case of sudden frequency event, by releasing the stored kinetic energy,.



With reduced inertia conditions, sudden faults or system contingencies can generate wide frequency excursions, affecting the capability of the system to preserve grid integrity (undesired tripping of protections, generation/load units disconnection and instability).

# Need for Synthetic Inertia (SI) of Electrical Systems [1], [4]



#### **Test bed architecture**

Three experimental tests were carried out using the power-hardware-in-the-loop (PHIL) facility at LabZERO -

Politecnico di Bari, in order to test the efficacy of the proposed controller to provide SI control actions through

distributed energy resources





The proposed SI controller

#### SI controller: the proposed solution

An end-user low-cost controller (100 \$) able to measure frequency/RoCoF and generate a SI control law to manage legacy devices, without power converters reprogramming.

It consists of a Raspberry Pi 4 Model B (single board computer) and an A/D converter directly mounted on a prototype shield.



The frequency calculation methodology is **based on a** *signal autocorrelation algorithm* immune to noise, harmonic distortion and DC components.

#### SI controller: frequency measurement algorithm

Considering a generic waveform x, with starting time  $t_0$  and period  $\tilde{\tau}$ , the normalized function  $\hat{A}(t,\tau)$  in eqn. (2) reaches the maximum in correspondence of  $\tau = \tilde{\tau}$ 

$$A(t,\tau) = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} x(t) \cdot x(t+\tau) \, dt \quad (1) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \hat{A}(t,\tau) = \frac{A(t,\tau)}{C(t,\tau)} = \frac{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} x(t) \cdot x(t+\tau) \, dt}{0.5 \cdot \int_{t_0}^{t_0+2\tau} x^2(t) \, dt} \quad (2)$$

#### **Algorithm steps:**

- voltage sampling (≃20 kHz) of about 2 cycles (46 ms);
- equally spacing and filtering of samples using interpolation/extrapolation rules:
- evaluation of  $\hat{A}(t,\tau)$  for three subsequent candidates  $\tau$  using a discretized expression of (2);
- period estimation considering previous candidates  $\tau$ ;

#### SI controller algorithm: accuracy and timing

To calculate the signal frequency, the proposed  $\hat{A}(t,\tau)$  algorithm has been implemented on the device using Python language.

By means of preliminary tests, the proposed method was tested through canonic waveforms from signal generators and voltage signals from universal relay testing machine.

Mean Absolute Percentage Error [%]	0.0023 - 0.0028
Standard deviation [Hz]	0.0014 - 0.0018
Timing [ms]	52
Frequency measurement range [Hz]	47.5 - 51.5

Source: [1]

A slow reporting rate, acting as a low-pass filter, allows to reduce noise on RoCoF despite

it adds delay in the overall control chain

#### Test bed architecture: simulated power system

A real-time digital simulator (*"OPAL-RT"*) simulates the electromechanical response of a generic equivalent power system. It is able to interact with the following systems located in the microgrid:

- the *programmable power source* (PSS), located in the microgrid, by means of a fiber-optics channel;
- the *battery energy storage system* (BESS), through a Modbus TCP/IP communication.

 $\Delta f = \frac{1}{2Hs+D} \cdot \Delta P$  $\Delta P = \Delta P_{gen} + \Delta P_{load} + \Delta P_{bess} \text{ [p.u.]}$ 

- $\Delta P_{gen}$ : primary frequency regulation response
- - $\Delta P_{load}$ : load power fluctuations
- $\Delta P_{bess}$ : power exchange between BESS and PPS

![](_page_12_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### Test bed architecture: microgrid scheme

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

- DEI DOCTORAL RESEARCH SEMINARS -

#### SI control algorithm: RoCoF measurement

The BESS power output is controlled according to a current reference set-point I<sub>ref</sub>, which is proportional to

values of *RoCoF* and established gain *K*.

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Case 1: system response without synthetic inertia

In the first test case, **primary frequency regulation only is provided** through the modelled thermo-electric plant, assuming:

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Case 2: ideal SI control action with simulated control -1/2

SI controller functionalities are emulated by means of the digital real-time simulator and the BESS controlled in PHIL mode

Unfiltered RoCoF signal (not affected by measurement errors) was calculated and used to generate a SI control law to be applied on the BESS.

SI control action allowed to reduced frequency excursions with respect to the base case, having smaller overshoot and slopes, whereas settling time increased.

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Case 2: ideal SI control action with simulated control -2/2

In this test, a gain K of 25 A/(Hz/s) and a factor C (aimed to scale the BESS response) were applied. The maximum BESS direct current output  $I_{ref}$  of ± 5A corresponds to a power of 0.04 p.u.

Due to the SI contribution, the response of the primary regulation is smoothed and delayed. BESS power response is barely affected by delay, reaching a peak after 200 ms from the load step change variation (both downward and upward)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Case 3: test of the SI controller -1/3

**SI control action is provided by the proposed controller**: the device autonomously measured the frequency from the BESS busbar voltage, calculated the RoCoF and sent  $I_{ref}$  to the *Battery Management System* (BMS).

The real-time simulator provided the frequency reference to the programmable source

Since RoCoF estimation errors, the following exponential smoothing low-pass filter was applied:

$$s_t = \alpha \cdot f_t + (1 - \alpha) \cdot s_{t-1}$$

 $\alpha$  is the set smoothing factor,  $f_t$  the frequency observation at time t,  $s_t$  and  $s_{t-1}$  the smoothed frequency values at current and previous time.

Higher values of α generated more effective SI control laws (higher nadir), but also more frequency fluctuations.

#### Case 3: test of the SI controller -2/3

Synthetic inertia actions were performed applying:

- gain K ranged from 10 to 25.
- A smoothing factor α = 0.1 (value suggested from empirical tests as suitable to filter the frequency signal.

Nadir and settling time raised with the gain increasing. Moreover, high gains introduced frequency fluctuations due to RoCoF errors amplification.

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Case 3: test of the SI controller -3/3

Fluctuations of the power response  $\Delta P_{bess}$  errors can be controlled by either reducing the gain K or introducing a suitable deadband on RoCoF (large deadbands reduce RoCoF sensitivity).

 $\Delta P_{bess}$  first reaction was observed after about 100 ms with respect to the frequency derivative due RoCoF filter.

The BESS response  $\Delta P_{bess}$  reached its peak about 600 ms after the step change (due to the presence of the filter).

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Findings and final conclusions**

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

The controller (100 \$) is able to measure RoCoF and control legacy components, whose management system cannot be easily reprogrammed, in order to provide SI actions.

PHIL tests shown the effectiveness of the SI control actions performed through a BESS, with an accurate and fast enough response during transients.

The actual implementation requires careful tuning of a low-pass filter and control gain, in order to avoid undesired frequency fluctuations.

Further tests will be aimed at coordinating gain with both frequency and RoCoF deadbands to reduce stress of controlled components and identify minimum requirements for distributed SI controllers.

#### References

[1] S. Bruno, G. Giannoccaro, C. Iurlaro, M. L. Scala and C. Rodio, "A Low-cost Controller to Enable Synthetic Inertia Response of Distributed Energy Resources," 2020 EEEIC / I&CPS Europe, 2020, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/EEEIC/ICPSEurope49358.2020.9160813.

[2] C. Rodio, G. Giannoccaro, S. Bruno, M. Bronzini and M. La Scala, "Optimal Dispatch of Distributed Resources in a TSO-DSO
Coordination Framework," 2020 AEIT International Annual Conference (AEIT), 2020, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.23919/AEIT50178.2020.9241175.

[3] S. Bruno, G. Giannoccaro, C. Iurlaro, M. L. Scala, L. Notaristefano and C. Rodio, "*Mapping Flexibility Region through Threephase Distribution Optimal Power Flow at TSO-DSO Point of Interconnection,*" 2021 AEIT International Annual Conference (AEIT), 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.23919/AEIT53387.2021.9627050.

[4] S. Bruno, G. Giannoccaro, C. Iurlaro, M. L. Scala, C. Rodio and R. Sbrizzai, *"Fast Frequency Regulation Support by LED Street Lighting Control,"* 2021 IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering and 2021 IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Europe (EEEIC / I&CPS Europe), 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/EEEIC/ICPSEurope51590.2021.9584577.

Grid services to transmission and distribution system operators through distributed energy resources (DERs)

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Carmine Rodio - carmine.rodio@poliba.it